Association between Serum Trace Elements and Renal Toxicology in Elderly Diabetic Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study in Taiwan

#### 血清中微量元素與腎毒理學之相關性研究 -以老年糖尿病病人爲例

#### 林健良1,2,莊弘毅1,3

高雄醫學大學公共衛生學系職業安全衛生研究所
 屏東基督教醫院職業醫學科
 高雄醫學大學附設中和紀念醫院環境暨職業醫學科

All CKD	CKD stages					
	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5	
% CI)						
11.93%(11.66–12.28)	1.02% (0.98–1.05)	3.79% (3.73-3.85)	6.81% (6.73-6.89)	0.22% (0.21-0.23)	0.10% (0.09–0.10)	
7·33% (7·31–7·35)	1.07% (1.06–10.7)	3·10% (30·9–3·11)	3.06% (3.05–3.07)	0·06% (0·06–0·06)	0.04% (0.04–0.04)	
19.87% (19.84–19.91)	0.84% (0.83–0.85)	4.75% (4.74–4.77)	13·59% (13·56–13·61)	0.50% (0.50-0.51)	0.19% (0.19–0.19)	
10.10% (9.83–10.37)§	1.04% (0.71–1.29)	3·31% (3·03–3·59)	5·53% (5·26–5·82)	0.17% (0-0.46)	0.08% (0-0.36)	
6.38% (6.30-6.50)	1.01% (0.96–1.04)	3·02% (2·55–3·45)	2·38% (2·34–2·46)	0.05% (0.04–0.06)	0.03% (0.02–0.04)	
17.89% (17.66–18.14)	0.93% (0.84–0.96)	4·79% (3·78–5·82)	12.04% (11.80–12.20)	0.42% (0.37-0.45)	0.19% (0.16–0.22)	
% CI)						
3.54% (3.37-3.68)	2.66% (2.29-3.03)	2.68% (2.44–2.92)	4.10% (3.90-4.30)	23.67% (20.17-27.23)	51.40% (45.52-57.28)	
3·94% (3·65-4·15)	2.76% (2.41-3.19)	2.66% (2.36–2.97)	4.70% (4.11-5.29)	34.59% (26.56-42.64)	58.89% (48.71-69.09)	
3·32% (3·06–3·54)	2·35% (1·52–3·08)	2.71% (2.31–3.09)	3.78% (3.41-4.19)	20.32% (16.58–24.02)	47.96% (40.94-55.06)	
	All CKD % Cl) 11.93% (11.66–12.28) 7.33% (7.31–7.35) 19.87% (19.84–19.91) 10.10% (9.83–10.37)\$ 6.38% (6.30–6.50) 17.89% (17.66–18.14) % Cl) 3.54% (3.37–3.68) 3.94% (3.65–4.15) 3.32% (3.06–3.54)	All CKD         CKD stages           Stage 1           Stage 1           1·93%(11·66-12·28)         1·02% (0·98-1·05)           1·93%(11·66-12·28)         1·07% (1·06-10·7)           1·93%(19·84-19·91)         0·84% (0·83-0·85)           1·01% (9·83-10·37)         1·04% (0·71-1·29)           1·01% (9·83-10·37)         1·01% (0·96-1·04)           1·38% (6·30-6·50)         1·01% (0·96-1·04)           1·38% (1·766-18·14)         0·93% (0·84-0·96)           s-54% (3·37-3·68)         2·66% (2·29-3·03)           3·94% (3·65-4·15)         2·76% (2·41-3·19)           3·94% (3·65-4·15)         2·35% (1·52-3·08)	All CKD         CKD stages           Stage 1         Stage 2           Stage 1         Stage 2           *CI)         1.02% (0.98–1.05)         3.79% (3.73–3.85)           7.33% (7.31–7.35)         1.07% (1.06–10.7)         3.10% (30.9–3.11)           19.87% (19.84–19.91)         0.84% (0.83–0.85)         4.75% (4.74–4.77)           10.10% (9.83–10.37)         1.01% (0.71–1.29)         3.31% (3.03–3.59)           6.38% (6.30–6.50)         1.01% (0.96–1.04)         3.02% (2.55–3.45)           7.83% (17.66–18.14)         0.93% (0.84–0.96)         4.79% (3.78–5.82)           7.83% (3.37–3.68)         2.66% (2.29–3.03)         2.68% (2.44–2.92)           3.94% (3.65–4.15)         2.76% (2.41–3.19)         2.66% (2.36–2.97)           3.92% (3.06–3.54)         2.35% (1.52–3.08)         2.71% (2.31–3.09)	All CKD         CKD stages           Stage 1         Stage 2         Stage 3           % CI)         1.02% (0.98-1.05)         3.79% (3.73-3.85)         6.81% (6.73-6.89)           7.33% (7.31-7.35)         1.07% (1.06-10.7)         3.10% (30.9-3.11)         3.06% (3.05-3.07)           1.93% (11.984-19.91)         0.84% (0.83-0.85)         4.75% (4.74-4.77)         13.59% (13.56-13.61)           10.10% (9.83-10.37)         1.04% (0.71-12.90)         3.31% (3.03-3.59)         5.53% (5.26-5.82)           6.38% (6.30-6.50)         1.01% (0.96-1.04)         3.02% (2.55-3.45)         2.38% (2.34-2.46)           1.20% (1.76-18.14)         0.93% (0.84-0.96)         4.79% (3.78-5.82)         12.04% (11.80-12.20)           8.54% (3.37-3.68)         2.66% (2.29-3.03)         2.68% (2.44-2.92)         4.10% (3.90-4.30)           3.94% (3.65-4.15)         2.76% (2.41-3.19)         2.66% (2.36-2.97)         4.70% (4.11-5.29)           3.94% (3.65-4.15)         2.76% (2.41-3.19)         2.66% (2.36-2.97)         4.70% (4.11-5.29)           3.92% (3.06-3.54)         2.35% (1.52-3.08)         2.71% (2.31-3.09)         3.78% (3.41-4.19)	All CKD         CKD stages           5tage 1         Stage 2         Stage 3         Stage 4           *CI         1.02% (0.98-1.05)         3.79% (3.73-3.85)         6.81% (6.73-6.89)         0.22% (0.21-0.23)           7.33% (7.31-7.35)         1.07% (1.06-10.7)         3.10% (30.9-3.11)         3.06% (3.05-3.07)         0.06% (0.06-0.06)           19.87% (19.84-19.91)         0.84% (0.83-0.85)         4.75% (4.74-4.77)         13.59% (13.56-13.61)         0.50% (0.50-0.51)           10.10% (9.83-10.37)         1.04% (0.71-1.29)         3.31% (3.03-3.59)         5.53% (5.26-5.82)         0.17% (0-0.46)           6.38% (6.30-6.50)         1.01% (0.96-1.04)         3.02% (2.55-3.45)         2.38% (2.34-2.46)         0.05% (0.04-0.06)           17.89% (17.66-18.14)         0.93% (0.84-0.96)         4.79% (3.78-5.82)         12.04% (11.80-12.20)         0.42% (0.37-0.45)           8.54% (3.37-3.68)         2.66% (2.29-3.03)         2.68% (2.44-2.92)         4.10% (3.90-4.30)         2.367% (20.17-27.23)           3.94% (3.65-4.15)         2.76% (2.41-3.19)         2.66% (2.36-2.97)         4.70% (4.11-5.29)         34.59% (26.56-42.64)           3.32% (3.06-3.54)         2.35% (1.52-3.08)         2.71% (2.31-3.09)         3.78% (3.41-4.19)         20.32% (16.58-24.02)	

CKD=chronic kidney disease. SES=socioeconomic status. \*Age and educational level had been standardised to Taiwan population at 2006. †Participants with high-school education or higher (N=275 655). ‡Participants with middle-school education or below (N=105 121). SThe figure was adjusted downward from 12·3% of the study cohort as per panel definition to meet the persistent proteinuria requirement.

Table 2: Prevalence and awareness of chronic kidney disease by socioeconomic status in cohort participants

#### The national prevalence of CKD was 11.93% in Taiwan.

Chi Pang Wen et al. All-cause mortality attributable to chronic kidney disease: a prospective cohort study based on 462293 adults in Taiwan. *Lancet.* 2008;371:2173-2182.



The Prevalence and Risk Factors of Diabetic Nephropathy in Taiwanese Type 2 Diabetes-A Hospital-Based Study. Acta Nephrologica Vol. 23, No. 2, 2009

#### INTRODUCTION



### Type 2 diabetes In Taiwan

Prevalence of diabetic nephropathy
 ▶ 40%

The Prevalence and Risk Factors of Diabetic Nephropathy in Taiwanese Type 2 Diabetes-A Hospital-Based Study. Acta Nephrologica Vol. 23, No. 2, 2009

### INTRODUCTION

• The metabolism of several trace metals was altered in the patients of type 2 DM .

Biological trace element research 2008; 122(1): 1-18

- Several trace elements have been implicated in the <u>decline of renal function</u>
  - Arsenic
  - Cadmium
  - Copper
  - Lead
  - Mercury

Nephrol Dial Transplant (2002) 17:2-8

### INTRODUCTION

• The most important factor affect **trace** element concentration in patients is the degree of renal failure.

nutritional management of renal disease. 1996 p.396-414

 Excessive accumulation or depletion of trace elements may have significant clinical implications, include risk for cancer, CVD, immune deficiency, anemia, renal function impairment...

Nephrol Dial Transplant (2002) 17:2-8

### PURPOSE

Renal

function

Concentration of serum trace elements

### Elder DM patients



#### ESTIMATE RENAL FUNCTION

	新版台	目前國際公式		
	Taiwanese MDRD	New Taiwanese equation	4-variable MDRD	
計算公式	$175 \times \text{SCr}^{-1.154} \times \text{Age}^{-0.203} \times 0.742$ (if	$\frac{180.91 \times \text{SCr}^{-0.936} \times}{\text{Age}^{-0.227} \times 0.76} \text{ (if}$	$186 \times \text{SCr}^{-1.154} \times \text{Age}^{-0.203} \times 0.742$ (if	
	female) $\times 0.945$	female)	female)	
準確率 (%)	☆94.8	☆92.4	84.3	
誤差值 (ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )	☆-0.7	☆1.5	13.2	



### MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Collected the data
  - Age
  - Gender
  - Height
  - Weight
  - Level of education

- Duration of type 2 DM
- History of substance use
- History of hypertension, Dyslipidemia
- Standard questionnaires from cases or their family
- The biochemical laboratory blood tests were performed by certified laboratory.
- Checked the following data
  - BUN, Cr, HbA1c, lipid profile and urine albumin with urine Cr.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

- The tests of blood levels of trace elements
   → Se, Cr, Al, As, Pb, Cd, Zn, Cu
- Inductively Couple Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS) of Thermo Scientific XSERIES 2



### MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Compare groups
  - male vs female
  - CKD group vs Non-CKD group
- Database processing and statistical analyses
  - SPSS v.19 statistical package.
  - P value < 0.05: statistically significant.

KES	ULI

Table 1. Differencecharacteristicbetween genders

		Male n=131	Female n=111	P value
Age (years), mean±SD		72.77±5.44	71.83±5.35	0.177
Education level				<0.001*
No education		9	49	
No education, but recognized words		16	6	
Primary school to High school		81	49	
College		25	7	
Hypertension				0.409
Y	es	103	93	
	No	27	18	
Dyslipidemia				0.498
Y	es	106	94	
	No	25	17	
Smoking				<0.001*
Y	es	62	4	
	No	69	107	
Alcohol				<0.001*
Ŷ	es	41	3	
	No	90	108	
СКД		-		0.438
Y	es	67	63	
	NO	64	48	0.740
		63.47±19.23	64.40±24.74	0.748
HDA1C (%), mean±SD		7365±1.25	7.84±1.39	0.276
DM duration (years), mean±SD		9.66±7.31	12.13±7.74	0.011*
Serum Creatinine (mg/dL) , mear <mark>±</mark> SD		1.20±0.48	0.95±0.40	<0.001*
BMI (kg/m²), mean±SD		25.58±3.43	24.86±3.43	0.109

RESULT

 Table 2. Serum trace elements level Comparison between genders

	Male(n=132)	Female (n=112)	– D	
	mean±SD	mean±SD	P-value	
<b>Al,</b> <i>u</i> g/L (ppb)	56.39 ± 54.84	45.62 ± 48.57	0.109	
<b>As,</b> <i>u</i> g/L (ppb)	7.35 ± 5.54	$6.64 \pm 4.66$	0.282	
<b>Cd,</b> <i>u</i> g/L (ppb)	0.32 ± 0.48	0.27 ± 0.13	0.256	
<b>Pb,</b> <i>u</i> g/dL	$1.94 \pm 1.74$	1.73 ± 3.28	0.522	
<b>Cr,</b> <i>u</i> g/L (ppb)	6.46 ± 9.17	4.80 ± 7.49	0.123	
<b>Cu,</b> mg/L (ppm)	0.94 ± 0.35	1.05 ± 0.43	0.032*	
<b>Se,</b> <i>u</i> g/L (ppb)	347.98 <u>+</u> 99.96	326.71 ± 99.02	0.098	
<b>Zn,</b> mg/L (ppm)	7.11 ± 3.62	6.85 ± 6.90	0.596	

#### Result

#### Table3. Difference characteristic between CKD and non-CKD groups

	CKD	Non-CKD	— Declar
	n=132(54%)	n=112(46%)	P value
Age (years), mean±SD	73.07±5.59	71.56±5.07	0.028*
Education level			0.047*
No education	40(66.7%)	20(33.3%)	
No education, but recognized words	13(59.1%)	9(40.9%)	
Primary school to High school	67(51.5%)	63(48.5%)	
College	12(37.5%)	20(62.5%)	
Hypertension (Yes)	121(91.7%)	77(69.4%)	< 0.001**
Dyslipidemia (Yes)	109(82.6%)	93(83.0%)	0.924
Smoking (Yes)	36(27.3%)	31(27.7%)	0.944
Alcohol (Yes)	25(18.9%)	20(17.97%)	0.828
Gender			0.534
male	68(51.5%)	64(57.1%)	
HbA1c(%), mean±SD	7.88±1.33	7.53±1.28	0.037*
<b>DM duration</b> (years), mean±SD	12.36±7.93	8.87±6.70	<0.001**
<b>BMI</b> (kg/m²), mean±SD	25.78±3.77	24.58±2.87	0.005*
eGFR	51.24±21.77	77.92±11.71	<0.001**

## Table 4. trace elements level Comparisonbetween CKD and non-CKD groups

	CKD group	Non-CKD group	P-value	
	(n=132)	(n=112)		
	mean ± SD	mean ± SD		
<b>Al,</b> <i>ug</i> /L (ppb)	52.495 ± 56.049	50.211 ± 47.560	0.734	
<b>As,</b> ug/L (ppb)	7.623 ± 5.302	6.320 ± 4.915	0.049*	
<b>Cd,</b> <i>ug</i> /L (ppb)	0.300 ± 0.328	0.291 ± 0.411	0.855	
<b>Pb,</b> ug/dL	2.001 ± 3.173	1.655 ± 1.540	0.293	
<b>Cr,</b> <i>u</i> g/L (ppb)	5.562 ± 8.716	5.857 ± 8.188	0.786	
<b>Cu,</b> mg/L (ppm)	$1.007 \pm 0.401$	0.976 ± 0.377	0.543	
<b>Se,</b> <i>u</i> g/L (ppb)	332.376 ± 103.441	345.105 ± 95.542	0.322	
<b>Zn,</b> mg/L (ppm)	7.109 ± 3.749	6.846 ± 3.748	0.586	

Result



Table 5. Multiple Linear regression analysis

eGFR =165.046 -0.482(Duration of DM) - 0.138(HbA1c)+ 0.947(Gender) -4.597(E1)+ 0.749(E2)+ 9.030(E3) -1.015(Age) -0.079(AI) +0.440(Cr) -0.974(As) +0.031(Se) -4.638(Cd) -1.339(Pb) -5.912(Cu) +0.860(Zn) -0.384(SMOKING) -9.470(HTN) -0.727(DYSLIPIDEMIA) +0.703(ALCOHOL) -0.534(BMI)



RESULT

19

Table 6. Logistic regression model of effects of trace elements (CKD vs non-CKD group)



Adjusted variables: Sex, age, smoking, BMI, hypertension, dyslipidemia, HbA1c, duration of type 2 DM

20

## **RENAL FAILURE**

- Arsenic and chromium tend to increase.
- selenium and zinc tend to decrease.
- Elements levels Increased
  - industrial or environmental exposure
  - Intake
  - <u>Smoke</u>
  - dialysate
- Elements levels **Decreased** 
  - Protein-bound elements may be loss in proteinuria

Nephrol Dial Transplant (2002) 17:2-8

21

Cu

- Serum copper level showed increase in women
  - absorbing a greater percentage than male
  - hormonal status
    - estrogens increase serum copper concentrations

Farzin et al Biol Trace Elem Res (2009) 129:30

### Pb

22

- Elevated blood Pb levels was associated with lower eGFR
  - even blood Pb levels below 10ug/dL

Ekong EB, Jaar BG, Weaver VM. 2006. Lead-related nephrotoxicity: a review of the epidemiologic evidence. Kidney Int 70(12):2074–2084.

• Lower levels of lead exposure continue to contribute to nephrotoxicity.

Associations of blood lead, cadmium, and mercury with estimated glomerular filtration rate in the Korean general population: analysis of 2008-2010 Korean National health and Nutrition Examination survey data. <u>Environ Res. 2012 Oct;118:124-9</u>

### Zn

Table 2 Oxidation-related metals at different stages of chronic kidney disease (CKD).						
Parameter	Stage 1 ( $n = 16$ )	Stage 2 ( $n = 51$ )	Stage 3 ( $n = 70$ )	Stage 4 ( $n = 8$ )	Average	р
Copper (ppm)	$1.04 \pm 0.18$ 1.26 ± 0.45	$1.07 \pm 0.21$ $1.27 \pm 0.38$	$1.09 \pm 0.20$ 1.16 ± 0.44	$1.24 \pm 0.17$ 1.36 ± 0.59	$\begin{array}{c} 1.09 \pm 0.2 \\ 1.22 \pm 0.43 \end{array}$	0.133 0.347
Zinc (ppm)	$\textbf{0.88} \pm \textbf{0.13}$	$\textbf{0.83} \pm \textbf{0.17}$	$\textbf{0.76} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	$\textbf{0.69} \pm \textbf{0.13}$	$0.80\pm0.17$	0.005*
Selenium (ppb)	$146.3\pm20.0$	$144.1\pm23.2$	$141.2\pm23.2$	$145.4\pm17.8$	$143.0\pm22.5$	0.799

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD).

\*p < 0.01.

ppb = parts per billion; ppm = parts per million.

- A decrease in zinc concentration in CKD patients from Stages 1 to 4.
- Zinc concentrations in patients with CKD vary depending on individual diets and medications.
- Serum zinc level was lower in patients on chronic hemodialysis than the control group.
- Zinc deficiency will increase oxidative stress.

Changes in levels of copper, iron, zinc, and selenium in patients at different stages of chronic kidney disease. C.-T. Shih et al. **Genomic Medicine**, **Biomarkers**, and **Health Sciences** Volume 4, Issue 4, Pages 128-130, December 2012

24

- Increase oxidative stress
- Carcinogen
  - skin, lung, bladder
- Non-cancer health effect:
  - reproductive, cardiovascular, pulmonary, neurologic, skin

A۹

• Seafood, groundwater, air contamination

Internal exposure to trace elements in Non-smoking residents Living in Northern Taiwan Industrial City. Tser-Sheng Lin. Biol Elem Res (2011) 144:36-48

Se

- 25 Low serum Se levels
  - a frequent finding in patients with acute kidney injury or chronic kidney disease.
  - Se status and immune function improve after oral and intravenous Se supplementation in renal patients
    - reducing the products of oxidative stress.

J Nephrol. 2012 Sep 18:0. doi: 10.5301/jn.5000213

## LIMITATION

#### Cross-sectional study

26

- it is difficult to know the relationship of cause and effect.
- Blood trace elements level represent the recent exposure.

## LIMITATION

#### Other factors influence renal function

- Chinese herb intake, NSAIDs, Antibiotics
- Hg, Be, Si, CS2
- Dioxane, Toluene, Phenol....
- Food or supplements intake

			Classification by severity
Stage	Description	GFR mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	Related terms
1	Kidney damage with normal or ↑ GFR	≥90	Albuminuria, proteinuria, hematuria
2	Kidney damage with mild ↓ GFR	60–89	Albuminuria, proteinuria, hematuria
3	Moderate ↓ GFR	30–59	Chronic renal insufficiency, early renal insufficiency
4	Severe ↓ GFR	15–29	Chronic renal insufficiency, late renal insufficiency, pre-ESRD
5	Kidney failure	<15 (or dialysis)	Renal failure, uremia, end-stage renal disease

**Table 4.** Classification of chronic kidney disease (CKD)

Abbreviations are: GFR, glomerular filtration rate; ESRD, end-stage renal disease. Related terms for CKD stages 3 to 5 do not have specific definitions, except ESRD.

Definition and classification of chronic kidney disease: A position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Kidney International, Vol. 67 (2005), pp. 2089–2100



Simplified Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula

4-variable or abbreviated MDRD 公式 186×Cr<sup>-1.154</sup>×age<sup>-0.203</sup>×(0.742, if female) ×(1.212, if African American)

Definition and classification of chronic kidney disease: A position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Kidney International, Vol. 67 (2005), pp. 2089–2100



Cd

40

- Elevated blood cadmium levels were associated with lower eGFR in women
- a risk factor for chronic kidney disease

**Blood Cadmium and Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate in Korean Adults.** Environmental Health Perspectives • volume 119 | number 12 | December 2011